



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/781,734	734 02/20/2004		Kuo-Chung Chen	3126-519	3605		
	7590	0 09/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
Bruce H Tro		TV	DABNEY, PHYLE	DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA			
Troxell Law ( 5205 Leesbur		LLX	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Suite 1404	g i iko		2615				
Falls Church,	VA 22	2041	DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			734	CHEN, KUO-CHUNG	;			
			9 <b>r</b>	Art Unit				
		1 7	a.L. Dabney	2615				
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	SS			
WH - E: af - If - F: Ai	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA tensions of time may be available under the provisions of er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with y reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 7 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. tory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	;			
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 16 June 2006.						
2a)[2	_	)☐ This action is	non-final.	•				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	r allowance excer	ot for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the me	erits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte</i> C	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispos	ition of Claims							
4)∑	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			`\				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				ř			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.					
Applica	ation Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)[	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objecti		•	٠ ٧				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
11)L	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. N	Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority do							
	2. Certified copies of the priority do							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			ed in this National Sta	ge			
,	application from the International	•	` ''					
	See the attached detailed Office action	tor a list of the cer	tified copies not receive	ed.				
Attach	ant(c)							
Attachm	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	·. (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	;			
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT per No(s)/Mail Date	ro/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/781,734

Art Unit: 2615

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the amendment filed on 16 June 2006 in which claims 1-9 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keliiliki (U.S. Patent No. 6,427,018).

Regarding claims 1-3, Keliiliki teaches an adjustable ear-hook earphone with a microphone comprising a C-shaped hook (at 10) with a sleeve (13) on top end thereof, a wire entry (55, 57), a wire exit (24, 55), and a wire channel (as shown in the figures through item 10) arranged on upper part of the C-shaped hook in tangential direction, a main body (11) of earphone with a speaker mounted on lateral side and a limiting part (17) extending from top side thereof, an adjusting post (15) clipped on lateral side of the limiting part; wherein the outer diameter of the adjusting post corresponds to the inner diameter of the sleeve so that the adjusting post is sleeved into the sleeve to allow the main body of earphone to turn left or right in a certain angle (which reads on its ability to be used on either ear) as well as moving upwards or downwards (arrow 16), a flexible tube (35) with one end pivoted to the lateral side of the main body of earphone and extending downwards; the flexible tube swings to and fro, a microphone

Application/Control Number: 10/781,734

Art Unit: 2615

Page 3

(45) disposed on one end of the flexible tube and connected with the main body of earphone by the flexible tube, and a signal wire (22, 46) passing through the entry, the wire channel, the wire exit, and then being connected to the main body of earphone, wherein the signal wire is routed directly from the wire entry to enter the main body of the earphone (as shown in figure 1).

Regarding claim 4, Keliiliki teaches the adjustable ear-hook earphone with a microphone as claimed in claim 1, wherein the limiting part (17) of the main body of earphone further having a wire (as shown in the figures) accommodating slot for housing the signal wire therein.

Regarding claims 5-8, see the rejection of claims 1-4 respectfully, and the arguments below.

Regarding claim 9, Keliiliki teaches the adjustable ear-hook earphone with a microphone as claimed in claim 5, wherein the adjustable ear-hook earphone with a microphone further having a flexible tube (35) with one end pivoted to the lateral side of the main body of the earphone (as shown in figure 7) and extending downwards; the flexible tube swings to and fro, a microphone disposed on one end of the flexible tube and connected with the main body of the earphone by the flexible tube.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the Applicant's first argument that Keliiliki does not teach the signal wire being inserted in to the speaker by an extended structure of the main body, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., extended structure of the main body) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

With respect to the Applicant's second argument that Keliiliki does not teach the passage between the wire entry and the wire exit is a straight line, the Examiner agrees. However, the claims as written state that there is a substantially "straight wire" passage as opposed to a passage for a twisted, retractable, etc., wire. Therefore, Keliiliki satisfies the claimed language.

With respect to the Applicant's third argument that *Keliiliki wire channel will collect dirt*. The Applicant's argument fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

In light of these arguments, the rejections are being maintained.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2615

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phylesha L. Dabney whose telephone number is 571-272-7494.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays, Fridays 8:30-4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 1, 2006

SUPERVISORY PA